



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/689,198 | 10/20/2003 | Joseph D. Rainville | 8540G-000213 | 4431 |
| 27572 | 7590 | 07/01/2009 | | |
| HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 828 | | | EICHELMAYER, ALIX ELIZABETH | |
| BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 | | | | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1795 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 07/01/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/689,198

Applicant(s)

RAINVILLE ET AL.

Examiner

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer

Art Unit

1795

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10,17 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10,17 and 20-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 22, 2009 has been entered.
2. Claims 10, 21, and 26 have been amended. Claims 10, 17, and 20-26 are pending and are rejected for the reasons given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 10, 17, and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyagi et al. (US 2002/0172847) in view of Arnold et al. (US 6,647,724) and Lahiff (US 2003/0068538).

Aoyagi et al. teach a fuel cell power supply system including a compressor for controlling oxidant to a fuel cell ([0005]). The speed, or capacity, of the compressor is

controlled by changing the motor speed based on the output of a controller ([0033], [0034]).

With regard to claim 17, Aoyagi et al. teach charging a capacitor with energy generated by the fuel cell ([0008]).

Aoyagi et al. fail to teach rapid transient modes.

Arnold et al. teach a variable speed compressor used to provide air (column 4 lines 26-36). The compressor has variable speed and is driven by a motor (column 3 lines 49-65).

The compressor further comprises a controller that can switch the power to the motor from one source to another, which allows for recharging of the power source not being used (column 4 lines 59-66). With regard to claim 18, the second power source is used during intermittent bursts of power, or rapid transient modes upward and the power source may be a capacitor or supercapacitor (column 5 line 66 - column 6 line 19).

When the compressor returns to normal operation after a burst, the capacity is inherently lower during normal operation as compared to during the burst.

It would be desirable to use the compressor of Arnold et al. in the system of Aoyagi et al. since the rapid transient modes of Arnold et al. would provide greater bursts of air to the fuel cell system when more power from the fuel cell is needed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the compressor of Arnold et al. in the system of Aoyagi et al. since the rapid transient modes of Arnold et al. would provide greater bursts of air to the fuel cell system when more power from the fuel cell is needed.

Aoyagi et al. in view of Arnold et al. fail to teach the claimed "threshold rate" for the compressor. Aoyagi et al. teach that the amount of power produced by the fuel cell is influenced by the amount of air provided to the fuel cell ([0005]). In the above combination, the compressor of Arnold et al. is used to control the amount of air provided. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine rate at which air should be provided to the fuel cell of Aoyagi et al., using the compressor of Arnold et al. to control the rate, since controlling the air controls the electrical output of the fuel cell. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. MPEP 2144.05 (IIB).

Aoyagi et al. in view of Arnold et al. fail to teach charging of the capacitor with energy generated by regeneratively braking the compressor motor.

Lahiff teaches a compressor for controlling oxidant to a fuel cell (abstract). The compressor is controlled by a controller ([0040]).

Lahiff further teaches regenerative braking of another motor in the system, where the derived current is used to charge the battery ([0003]). One of ordinary skill in the art

would recognize the advantages with using regenerative braking of a motor to charge a battery: energy that might otherwise be wasted during braking can be conserved.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to capture the braking energy from the motor used for the compressor of Lahiff in order to conserve that energy that would otherwise be lost.

Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. 1.132

5. The Declaration filed April 22, 2009 has been considered by the examiner. The arguments are discussed below.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, see above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PATRICK RYAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer
Examiner
Art Unit 1795

aee